

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/696,566	10/25/2000	Richard H. Boivie	YOR920000591US1	2909	
23334 73	7590 08/12/2005		EXAMINER		
FLEIT, KAIN, GIBBONS, GUTMAN, BONGINI & BIANCO P.L. ONE BOCA COMMERCE CENTER 551 NORTHWEST 77TH STREET, SUITE 111 BOCA RATON, FL 33487			TRAN, P	TRAN, PHILIP B	
			. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2155		
			DATE MAILED: 08/12/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/696,566	BOIVIE, RICHARD H.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Philip B. Tran	2155				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 May 2005</u> .						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)□	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20050804

DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicant

1. This communication is in response to the amendment filed 25 May 2005. Claims 1-4, 6-8,12-13 and 17 have been amended. Therefore, claims 1-20 are pending for further examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, 6, 8, 10, 13-15 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haggerty et al (Hereafter, Haggerty), U.S. Pat. No. 6,331,983 in view of Hardjono, U.S. Pat. No. 6,643,773 and further in view of Imai et al (Hereafter, Imai), U.S. Pat. No. 6,862,279.

Regarding claim 1, Haggerty teaches a method for distributing packets or messages efficiently across a network of information processing units (= Mcast Hosts) and intermediate nodes (= Mcast Routers/Switches) (i.e., multicasting packets across switch/router networks) [see Figs. 2-5 and Abstract], the method on an information processing unit comprising the steps of:

receiving a message created and sent by a user, the user associating the message with a plurality of destinations (i.e., receiving multicast packet with

Serial Number: 09/696,566 Page 3
Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20050804

destinations IP addresses of a multicast group) [see Col. 11, Line 60 to Col. 12, Line 15 and Col. 12, Line 55 to Col. 13, Line 12]; and

sending a single copy of the message, in a multicast packet, across the network via at least one intermediate nodes to destinations corresponding to a plurality of destination network addresses (i.e., copying an incoming multicast packet onto each of its going tree links) [see Col. 6, Lines 12-22 and Col. 13, Lines 36-45] using a reliable multicast technique (i.e., reliable delivery of multicast packets/messages with acknowledgment) [see Col. 17, Lines 30-64].

Haggerty does not explicitly teach distributing electronic mail message across the network using multicast technique. However, Haggerty does suggest the use of multicasting in transmission of messages/packets over the Internet such as transmission of corporate messages to employees and video/audio conferencing [see Col. 7, Lines 5-20]. This implies that there are some forms of electronic messages involved in transmission/reception in the network.

Hardjono, in the same field of messages/packets multicasting endeavor, discloses multicasting technique is well-known in the art for transmitting data messages such as e-mail messages to selected groups of users across the network like the Internet [see Hadjono, Abstract and Col. 1, Lines 13-25]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate multicasting technique for e-mail messages, disclosed by Hadjono, into the transmission of multicast messages/packets across the network of information processing units and intermediate nodes disclosed by Haggerty, in order to allow more users to easily create

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20050804

and join multicasting sessions [see Hadjono, Col. 1, Lines 13-25]. Thus, it would offer cost savings in network resources since network processing and bandwidth are conserved by transmitting a single copy of messages/packets over a distribution tree that branches out to destinations across the network.

In addition, Haggerty does suggest the use of multicasting technique with unicast packets [see Haggerty, Col. 3, Line 51 to Col. 4, Line 31]. However, Haggerty does not explicitly teach the multicast packet includes a packet header comprising the plurality of destination network addresses wherein at least one of the plurality of destination network addresses is a unicast address.

However, Imai, in the same field of multicast distribution of packets endeavor, discloses the multicast packet includes a packet header comprising the plurality of destination network addresses wherein at least one of the plurality of destination network addresses is a unicast address [see Imai, Figs. 20-21 and Col. 1, Line 59 to Col. 2, Line 37 and Col. 15, Line 54 to Col. 16, Line 2]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of unicast address in the list of destination addresses disclosed by Imai into the transmission of multicast messages/packets across the network of information processing units and intermediate nodes disclosed by Haggerty, in order to branch packets to appropriate destination and thus saving time for packet distribution process [see Imai, Col. 15, Lines 30-38].

Claims 3 and 6 are rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 1.

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20050804

Regarding claim 8, Haggerty teaches a method for distributing packets or messages across a network of information processing units (= Mcast Hosts) and intermediate nodes (= Mcast Routers/Switches) (i.e., multicasting packets across switch/router networks) [see Figs. 2-5 and Abstract], the method on an intermediate node comprising the steps of:

receiving a message in a multicast packet including a plurality of destination network addresses (i.e., receiving multicast packet with destinations IP addresses of a multicast group) [see Col. 11, Line 60 to Col. 12, Line 15 and Col. 12, Line 55 to Col. 13, Line 12];

determining one or more "next hops" corresponding to the plurality of destination network addresses for forwarding the packet (i.e., determining where the packet gets routed to next) [see Col. 12, Line 55 to Col. 13, Line 9];

replicating the packet for each "next hop" (i.e., messages or multicast packets are replicated when the tree branches) [see Col. 6, Lines 12-22]; and

forwarding one copy of the packet to each of the "next hops" (i.e., copying an incoming multicast packet onto each of its going tree links) [see Col. 6, Lines 12-22 and Col. 13, Lines 36-45].

Haggerty does not explicitly teach distributing electronic mail message across the network using multicast technique. However, Haggerty does suggest the use of multicasting in transmission of messages/packets over the Internet such as transmission of corporate messages to employees and video/audio conferencing [see

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20050804

Col. 7, Lines 5-20]. This implies that there are some forms of electronic messages involved in transmission/reception in the network.

Hardjono, in the same field of messages/packets multicasting endeavor, discloses multicasting technique is well-known in the art for transmitting data messages such as e-mail messages to selected groups of users across the network like the Internet [see Hadjono, Abstract and Col. 1, Lines 13-25]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate multicasting technique for e-mail messages, disclosed by Hadjono, into the transmission of multicast messages/packets across the network of information processing units and intermediate nodes disclosed by Haggerty, in order to allow more users to easily create and join multicasting sessions [see Hadjono, Col. 1, Lines 13-25]. Thus, it would offer cost savings in network resources since network processing and bandwidth are conserved by transmitting a single copy of messages/packets over a distribution tree that branches out to destinations across the network.

In addition, Haggerty does suggest the use of multicasting technique with unicast packets [see Haggerty, Col. 3, Line 51 to Col. 4, Line 31]. However, Haggerty does not explicitly teach the multicast packet includes a packet header comprising a plurality of destination network addresses wherein at least one of the plurality of destination network addresses is a unicast address.

However, Imai, in the same field of multicast distribution of packets endeavor, discloses the multicast packet includes a packet header comprising the plurality of destination network addresses wherein at least one of the plurality of destination

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20050804

network addresses is a unicast address [see Imai, Figs. 20-21 and Col. 1, Line 59 to Col. 2, Line 37 and Col. 15, Line 54 to Col. 16, Line 2]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of unicast address in the list of destination addresses disclosed by Imai into the transmission of multicast messages/packets across the network of information processing units and intermediate nodes disclosed by Haggerty, in order to branch packets to appropriate destination and thus saving time for packet distribution process [see Imai, Col. 15, Lines 30-38].

Regarding claim 10, Haggerty teaches the method as defined in claim 8 with all of the steps such as determining one or more "next hops" for forwarding the packet (i.e., determining where the packet gets routed to next) [see Col. 12, Line 55 to Col. 13, Line 9], replicating the packet for each "next hop" (i.e., messages or multicast packets are replicated when the tree branches) [see Col. 6, Lines 12-22], and forwarding one copy of the packet to each of the "next hops" (i.e., copying an incoming multicast packet onto each of its going tree links) [see Col. 6, Lines 12-22 and Col. 13, Lines 36-45]. In addition, Haggerty further teaches multicasting of different types of packets across the networks [see Col. 11, Lines 45-67 and Col. 12, Lines 30-31 and Figs. 4-5]. This suggests that multiple packets are processed and sent across the network from one hop to the next. Therefore, the determining, replicating and forwarding steps are repetitively executed for each newly received packet.

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20050804

Regarding claim 13, Haggerty teaches a computer readable medium including instructions for distributing packets or messages efficiently across a network of information processing units (= Mcast Hosts) and intermediate nodes (= Mcast Routers/Switches) (i.e., multicasting packets across switch/router networks) [see Figs. 2-5 and Abstract], the computer readable medium comprising instructions for:

receiving a message in a multicast packet including a plurality of destination network addresses (i.e., receiving multicast packet with destinations IP addresses of a multicast group) [see Col. 11, Line 60 to Col. 12, Line 15 and Col. 12, Line 55 to Col. 13, Line 12];

determining the "next hop" for each destination network address of the plurality of destination network addresses (i.e., determining where the packet gets routed to next) [see Col. 12, Line 55 to Col. 13, Line 9]; and

replicating the packet for each "next hop" (i.e., messages or multicast packets are replicated when the tree branches) [see Col. 6, Lines 12-22].

Haggerty does not explicitly teach distributing electronic mail message across the network using multicast technique. However, Haggerty does suggest the use of multicasting in transmission of messages/packets over the Internet such as transmission of corporate messages to employees and video/audio conferencing [see Col. 7, Lines 5-20]. This implies that there are some forms of electronic messages involved in transmission/reception in the network.

Hardjono, in the same field of messages/packets multicasting endeavor, discloses multicasting technique is well-known in the art for transmitting data messages

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20050804

such as e-mail messages to selected groups of users across the network like the Internet [see Hadjono, Abstract and Col. 1, Lines 13-25]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate multicasting technique for e-mail messages, disclosed by Hadjono, into the transmission of multicast messages/packets across the network of information processing units and intermediate nodes disclosed by Haggerty, in order to allow more users to easily create and join multicasting sessions [see Hadjono, Col. 1, Lines 13-25]. Thus, it would offer cost savings in network resources since network processing and bandwidth are conserved by transmitting a single copy of messages/packets over a distribution tree that branches out to destinations across the network.

In addition, Haggerty does suggest the use of multicasting technique with unicast packets [see Haggerty, Col. 3, Line 51 to Col. 4, Line 31]. However, Haggerty does not explicitly teach the multicast packet includes a packet header comprising the plurality of destination network addresses wherein at least one of the plurality of destination network addresses is a unicast address.

However, Imai, in the same field of multicast distribution of packets endeavor, discloses the multicast packet includes a packet header comprising the plurality of destination network addresses wherein at least one of the plurality of destination network addresses is a unicast address [see Imai, Figs. 20-21 and Col. 1, Line 59 to Col. 2, Line 37 and Col. 15, Line 54 to Col. 16, Line 2]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of unicast address in the list of destination addresses disclosed by Imai into

Serial Number: 09/696,566

Art Unit: 2155

Page 10

Paper Dated 20050804

the transmission of multicast messages/packets across the network of information processing units and intermediate nodes disclosed by Haggerty, in order to branch packets to appropriate destination and thus saving time for packet distribution process [see Imai, Col. 15, Lines 30-38].

Regarding claim 14, Haggerty further teaches the computer readable medium as defined in claim 13, further comprising the instruction for:

forwarding a copy of the packet to each "next hop" (i.e., copying an incoming multicast packet onto each of its going tree links) [see Col. 6, Lines 12-22 and Col. 13, Lines 36-45].

Regarding claim 15, Haggerty teaches the computer readable medium as defined in claim 14 with instructions for carrying out all of the steps such as receiving a packet containing address information for a list of destinations (i.e., receiving multicast packet with destination IP address of a multicast group) [see Col. 11, Line 60 to Col. 12, Line 15 and Col. 12, Line 55 to Col. 13, Line 12], determining the "next hop" for each of those destinations (i.e., determining where the packet gets routed to next) [see Col. 12, Line 55 to Col. 13, Line 9], and replicating the packet for each "next hop" (i.e., messages or multicast packets are replicated when the tree branches) [see Col. 6, Lines 12-22]. In addition, Haggerty further teaches multicasting of different types of packets across the networks [see Col. 11, Lines 45-67 and Col. 12, Lines 30-31 and Figs. 4-5]. This suggests that multiple packets are processed and sent across the

network from one hop to the next. Therefore, the determining, replicating and forwarding steps are repetitively executed for each newly received packet.

Regarding claim 17, Haggerty teaches an intermediate node for distributing packets or messages efficiently across a network of information processing units (= Mcast Hosts) and intermediate nodes (= Mcast Routers/Switches) (i.e., multicasting packets across switch/router networks) [see Figs. 2-5 and Abstract], the intermediate node comprising:

a reception unit for receiving a message in a multicast packet including a plurality of destination network addresses (i.e., receiving multicast packet with destinations IP addresses of a multicast group) [see Col. 11, Line 60 to Col. 12, Line 15 and Col. 12, Line 55 to Col. 13, Line 12];

a determination unit for determining the "next hop" for each destination network address of the plurality of destination network addresses (i.e., determining where the packet gets routed to next) [see Col. 12, Line 55 to Col. 13, Line 9]; and

a copying unit for replicating the packet for each of the "next hops" (i.e., messages or multicast packets are replicated when the tree branches) [see Col. 6, Lines 12-22].

Haggerty does not explicitly teach distributing electronic mail message across the network using multicast technique. However, Haggerty does suggest the use of multicasting in transmission of messages/packets over the Internet such as transmission of corporate messages to employees and video/audio conferencing [see

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20050804

Col. 7, Lines 5-20]. This implies that there are some forms of electronic messages involved in transmission/reception in the network.

Hardjono, in the same field of messages/packets multicasting endeavor, discloses multicasting technique is well-known in the art for transmitting data messages such as e-mail messages to selected groups of users across the network like the Internet [see Hadjono, Abstract and Col. 1, Lines 13-25]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate multicasting technique for e-mail messages, disclosed by Hadjono, into the transmission of multicast messages/packets across the network of information processing units and intermediate nodes disclosed by Haggerty, in order to allow more users to easily create and join multicasting sessions [see Hadjono, Col. 1, Lines 13-25]. Thus, it would offer cost savings in network resources since network processing and bandwidth are conserved by transmitting a single copy of messages/packets over a distribution tree that branches out to destinations across the network.

In addition, Haggerty does suggest the use of multicasting technique with unicast packets [see Haggerty, Col. 3, Line 51 to Col. 4, Line 31]. However, Haggerty does not explicitly teach the multicast packet includes a packet header comprising the plurality of destination network addresses wherein at least one of the plurality of destination network addresses is a unicast address.

However, Imai, in the same field of multicast distribution of packets endeavor, discloses the multicast packet includes a packet header comprising the plurality of destination network addresses wherein at least one of the plurality of destination

Serial Number: 09/696,566

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20050804

Page 13

network addresses is a unicast address [see Imai,Figs. 20-21 and Col. 1, Line 59 to Col. 2, Line 37 and Col. 15, Line 54 to Col. 16, Line 2]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of unicast address in the list of destination addresses disclosed by Imai into the transmission of multicast messages/packets across the network of information processing units and intermediate nodes disclosed by Haggerty, in order to branch packets to appropriate destination and thus saving time for packet distribution process [see Imai, Col. 15, Lines 30-38].

Regarding claim 18, Haggerty further teaches the intermediate node as defined in claim 17, further comprising :

a forwarding unit for forwarding a copy of the packet to each of the "next hops" (i.e., copying an incoming multicast packet onto each of its going tree links) [see Col. 6, Lines 12-22 and Col. 13, Lines 36-45].

Regarding claim 19, Haggerty further teaches the intermediate node as defined in claim 18 such as a reception unit for receiving a packet containing address information for a plurality of destinations (i.e., receiving multicast packet with destination IP address of a multicast group) [see Col. 11, Line 60 to Col. 12, Line 15 and Col. 12, Line 55 to Col. 13, Line 12], a determination unit for determining the "next hop" for each of the destinations (i.e., determining where the packet gets routed to next) [see Col. 12, Line 55 to Col. 13, Line 9], and a copying unit for replicating the packet for each of the

Serial Number: 09/696,566 Page 14
Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20050804

"next hops" (i.e., messages or multicast packets are replicated when the tree branches) [see Col. 6, Lines 12-22]. In addition, Haggerty further teaches multicasting of different types of packets across the networks [see Col. 11, Lines 45-67 and Col. 12, Lines 30-31 and Figs. 4-5]. This suggests that multiple packets are processed and sent across the network from one hop to the next. Therefore, the determining, replicating and forwarding steps are repetitively executed for each newly received packet.

4. Claims 2, 4, 7, 9 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haggerty et al (Hereafter, Haggerty), U.S. Pat. No. 6,331,983 in view of Hardjono, U.S. Pat. No. 6,643,773 and further in view of Imai et al (Hereafter, Imai), U.S. Pat. No. 6,862,279 and further in view of Boivie et al, "Small Group Multicast: A New Solution for Multicasting on the Internet", IEEE, May-June 2000 (Hereafter, SGM).

Regarding claim 2, Haggerty, Hardjono and Imai do not explicitly teach the method as defined in claim 1, wherein the reliable multicast technique comprises a reliable Small Group Multicast technique. However, Haggerty does suggest the use of the Internet Group Management Protocol (IGMP) for managing requests to join a multicast group(s) and receive multicast traffic [see Col. 3, Lines 21-29 and Col. 4, Lines 56-61].

SGM, in the same field of messages/packets multicasting endeavor, discloses the use of multicasting data transmission with Small Group Multicast (SGM) scheme [see SGM, Page 75, third column and Page 77]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate small group

Art Unit: 2155

multicast technique, disclosed by SGM, into the transmission of multicast messages/packets across the network of information processing units and intermediate nodes disclosed by Haggerty, in order to support data multicasting to a fairly small group of only a few parties and thus improve the scalability of large scale groups involving multicasting process [see SGM, Page 75, third column and Page 78].

Claims 4 and 7 are rejected under the same rationale set forth above to claim 2.

Regarding claim 9, Haggerty, Hardjono and Imai do not explicitly teach the method as defined in claim 8 wherein the determining, replicating and forwarding steps operate according to a Small Group Multicast scheme. However, Haggerty does suggest the use of the Internet Group Management Protocol (IGMP) for managing requests to join a multicast group(s) and receive multicast traffic [see Col. 3, Lines 21-29 and Col. 4, Lines 56-61].

SGM, in the same field of messages/packets multicasting endeavor, discloses the use of multicasting data transmission with Small Group Multicast (SGM) scheme [see SGM, Page 75, third column and Page 77]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate small group multicast technique, disclosed by SGM, into the transmission of multicast messages/packets across the network of information processing units and intermediate nodes disclosed by Haggerty, in order to support data multicasting to a fairly small

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20050804

group of only a few parties and thus improve the scalability of large scale groups involving multicasting process [see SGM, Page 75, third column and Page 78].

Regarding claim 12, Haggerty, Hardjono and Imai do not explicitly teach the method as defined in claim 8, wherein the multicast packet comprises a Small Group Multicast packet. However, Haggerty does suggest the use of the Internet Group Management Protocol (IGMP) for managing requests to join a multicast group(s) and receive multicast traffic [see Col. 3, Lines 21-29 and Col. 4, Lines 56-61].

SGM, in the same field of messages/packets multicasting endeavor, discloses the use of multicasting data transmission with Small Group Multicast (SGM) scheme [see SGM, Page 75, third column and Page 77]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate small group multicast technique, disclosed by SGM, into the transmission of multicast messages/packets across the network of information processing units and intermediate nodes disclosed by Haggerty, in order to support data multicasting to a fairly small group of only a few parties and thus improve the scalability of large scale groups involving multicasting process [see SGM, Page 75, third column and Page 78]. Therefore, the multicast packet comprises a small group multicast packet for supporting small group multicast scheme.

5. Claims 5, 11, 16 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haggerty et al (Hereafter, Haggerty), U.S. Pat. No. 6,331,983 in view

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20050804

of Hardjono, U.S. Pat. No. 6,643,773 and further in view of Imai et al (Hereafter, Imai), U.S. Pat. No. 6,862,279 and further in view of Provino et al (Hereafter, Provino), U.S. Pat. No. 6,269,085.

Regarding claim 5, Haggerty, Hardjono and Imai do not explicitly teach the information processing unit as defined in claim 3, wherein the transmission unit operates according to a communication protocol to process ACKs and NAKs as well as packet retransmissions. However, Hardjono does suggest the use of acknowledgments received from neighbor nodes [see Hardjono, Col. 17, Lines 39-54].

Provino, in the same field of messages/packets multicasting endeavor, discloses the use of multicasting data transmission with Acknowledgments (ACKs) and Negative Acknowledgments (NACKs) and retransmission of data packets [see Provino, Col. 1, Lines 10-21]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate processing ACK and /or NACK and performing packet retransmissions, disclosed by Provino, into the transmission of multicast messages/packets across the network of information processing units and intermediate nodes disclosed by Haggerty, in order to indicate whether data packets were correctly received or need to be retransmitted [see Provino, Col. 2, Lines 5-11]. Thus, it would offer a more reliable multicasting of packets/messages in the network.

Regarding claim 11, Haggerty, Hardjono and Imai do not explicitly teach the method as defined in claim 8, further comprising the steps of processing ACKs and/or NAKs and performing packet retransmissions. However, Hardjono does suggest the use

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20050804

of acknowledgments received from neighbor nodes [see Hardjono, Col. 17, Lines 39-54].

Provino, in the same field of messages/packets multicasting endeavor, discloses the use of multicasting data transmission with Acknowledgments (ACKs) and Negative Acknowledgments (NACKs) and retransmission of data packets [see Provino, Col. 1, Lines 10-21]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate processing ACK and /or NACK and performing packet retransmissions, disclosed by Provino, into the transmission of multicast messages/packets across the network of information processing units and intermediate nodes disclosed by Haggerty, in order to indicate whether data packets were correctly received or need to be retransmitted [see Provino, Col. 2, Lines 5-11]. Thus, it would offer a more reliable multicasting of packets/messages in the network.

Regarding claim 16, Haggerty, Hardjono and Imai do not explicitly teach the computer readable medium as defined in claim 15, further comprising the instructions for processing ACKs and/or NAKs and handling packet retransmissions. However, Hardjono does suggest the use of acknowledgments received from neighbor nodes [see Hardjono, Col. 17, Lines 39-54].

Provino, in the same field of messages/packets multicasting endeavor, discloses the use of multicasting data transmission with Acknowledgments (ACKs) and Negative Acknowledgments (NACKs) and retransmission of data packets [see Provino, Col. 1, Lines 10-21]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20050804

the invention was made to incorporate processing ACK and /or NACK and performing packet retransmissions, disclosed by Provino, into the transmission of multicast messages/packets across the network of information processing units and intermediate nodes disclosed by Haggerty, in order to indicate whether data packets were correctly received or need to be retransmitted [see Provino, Col. 2, Lines 5-11]. Thus, it would offer a more reliable multicasting of packets/messages in the network.

Regarding claim 20, Haggerty, Hardjono and Imai do not explicitly teach the intermediate node as defined in claim 19, further comprising an acknowledge unit for processing ACKs and/or NAKs and a retransmit unit for handling packet retransmissions. However, Hardjono does suggest the use of acknowledgments received from neighbor nodes [see Hardjono, Col. 17, Lines 39-54].

Provino, in the same field of messages/packets multicasting endeavor, discloses the use of multicasting data transmission with Acknowledgments (ACKs) and Negative Acknowledgments (NACKs) and retransmission of data packets [see Provino, Col. 1, Lines 10-21]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate processing ACK and /or NACK and performing packet retransmissions, disclosed by Provino, into the transmission of multicast messages/packets across the network of information processing units and intermediate nodes disclosed by Haggerty, in order to indicate whether data packets were correctly received or need to be retransmitted [see Provino, Col. 2, Lines 5-11]. Thus, it would offer a more reliable multicasting of packets/messages in the network.

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20050804

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

However, the examiner would like to point out that Haggerty teaches a method and system for distributing packets or messages efficiently across a network of information processing units (= Mcast Hosts) and intermediate nodes (= Mcast Routers/Switches) (i.e., multicasting packets across switch/router networks) [see Haggerty, Figs. 2-5 and Abstract, the method on an information processing unit comprising receiving a message created and sent by a user, the user associating the message with a plurality of destinations. For example, Haggerty discloses receiving multicast packet with destinations IP addresses of a multicast group [see Haggerty, Col. 11, Line 60 to Col. 12, Line 15 and Col. 12, Line 55 to Col. 13, Line 12]. In addition, Haggerty discloses sending a single copy of the message, in a multicast packet, across the network via at least one intermediate nodes to destinations corresponding to a plurality of destination network addresses. For example, Haggerty further discloses copying an incoming multicast packet onto each of its going tree links [see Haggerty, Col. 6, Lines 12-22 and Col. 13, Lines 36-45] using a reliable multicast technique (i.e., reliable delivery of multicast packets/messages with acknowledgment) [see Haggerty. Col. 17, Lines 30-64].

The new limitation "the multicast packet includes a packet header comprising the plurality of destination network addresses wherein at least one of the plurality of destination network addresses is a unicast address" is disclosed by

Paper Dated 20050804

Art Unit: 2155

the new reference **Imai et al (U.S. Pat. No. 6,862,279)** [see Imai, Figs. 20-21 and Col. 1, Line 59 to Col. 2, Line 37 and Col. 15, Line 54 to Col. 16, Line 2]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of unicast address in the list of destination addresses disclosed by Imai into the transmission of multicast messages/packets across the network of information processing units and intermediate nodes disclosed by Haggerty, in order to branch packets to appropriate destination and thus saving time for packet distribution process [see Imai, Col. 15, Lines 30-38].

As a result, cited prior art does disclose a system and method for distributing electronic mail efficiently across a network through intermediate nodes as routers, as claimed by the applicants. Therefore, the examiner asserts that cited prior art teaches or suggests the subject matter recited in independent claims. Dependent claims are also rejected at least by virtue of dependency on independent claims and by other reasons shown above. Accordingly, rejections for claims 1-20 are respectfully maintained.

Other References Cited

- 7. The following references cited by the examiner but not relied upon are considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - A) Samuel et al, U.S. Pat. No. 6,018,766.

Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CAR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2155 Paper Dated 20050804

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST REPLY IS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE-MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 CAR 1.136(A) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT, HOWEVER, WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX MONTHS FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-3991. The Group fax phone number is (571) 273-8300. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Saleh Najjar, can be reached on (571) 272-4006.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Philip B. Tran Art Unit 2155 August 05, 2005